AFFIDAVIT OF JAMIE WEINHAUER MARTIN

State of Arizona
County of Maricopa

I, Jamie Weinhauer Martin, being duly sworn, state as follows:

1. My name is Jamie Weinhauer Martin. I am a resident of Maricopa County, Arizona, and co-founder of Arizona Citizen Voice. I make this affidavit based upon my personal knowledge, my communications with government officials and agencies, and records I have personally obtained and reviewed.

2. I am providing this affidavit to document the citizen compliance-review gap I identified through my experience with Maricopa County and the actions I have requested from the Board of Supervisors to address it. The account of my correspondence below covers events through September 23, 2026.

3. For more than a year, I have sought answers concerning County contracts, public records, election-related procedures, internal controls, and government accountability. I submitted public-records requests, reviewed records produced by the County, submitted written questions and formal complaints, and communicated with County officials.

4. My questions included the Runbeck contracts and amendments governing the November 3, 2020 General Election, the applicable Disaster Recovery documentation, and the governing arrangements involving the Motor Vehicle Division, United States Postal Service, and first-level signature verification. I asked what requirements governed those activities and how compliance with those requirements was evaluated.

5. I followed the County Manager's Office's direction and submitted my complaint to OPS Contract Compliance. I requested a tracking number, identification of the person or office responsible for review, next steps, a timeframe, and the process for formally closing my complaint.

6. On August 20, 2026, OPS concluded that my complaint presented no contractual-compliance issue requiring further investigation or administrative action. I received that response. It referred me back to public records, but did not explain how my individual questions were resolved, identify the records and governing standards supporting its conclusion, or provide the complaint tracking and closure information I requested.

7. A response was provided, but the explanation and process information I requested remained unanswered. My public-records request sought existing records. My compliance complaint also sought an explanation of whether the activities described in those records met applicable requirements.

8. In her September 18, 2026 response, Assistant County Manager Blanca Caballero stated that County staff would review my recommendations and referred to existing County processes, including the County Ombudsman. That response did not identify a decision on agenda placement, an owner and timeframe for answering my questions, or a decision on the proposed pilot.

9. Based upon these records and communications, I identified a gap between receiving a citizen's documented complaint and providing an accountable process for independent compliance review, a supported written determination, corrective action when warranted, verification, and written closure. The County responses described above did not identify a complete countywide process providing those functions or a person responsible for carrying my concern through that process.

10. I have also reviewed another citizen's correspondence concerning an amber tabulator indicator that continued blinking after a reboot and later changed to solid around the time of an election-support hotline call. Her question concerned how the hotline knew the condition had changed. County correspondence stated that the responder was unaware of a case number being generated for her inquiry. I did not witness the tabulator incident and do not claim to know what caused the indicator change. I refer to the correspondence because it raises similar questions about complaint tracking, responsibility, and resolution.

11. I am aware that A.R.S. § 11-1608 requires procedures, reasonable timeframes, and an appeal process for complaints within that statute's specified scope. My concern is the broader countywide pathway for documented citizen concerns involving possible noncompliance with laws, regulations, contracts, policies, procedures, or internal controls.

12. I believe this issue affects citizens regardless of political party and has significance throughout Arizona because citizens rely on county government for many services. My firsthand experience described in this affidavit concerns Maricopa County. I am asking that the gap be addressed here.

13. On September 23, 2026, I sent correspondence to Supervisor Mark Stewart, Assistant County Manager Blanca Caballero, and the full Board, with the Clerk of the Board and County Manager included in the full-Board correspondence. I requested three actions: placement of the citizen compliance-review process and proposed solution on a Board agenda; a documented response to all 18 questions in my submission; and authorization of a compliance-review and corrective-action pilot using existing County resources.

14. My full-Board correspondence requested placement on the September 30, 2026 agenda and a written response by September 29, 2026. I also asked Supervisor Stewart to personally request agenda placement. September 29 was the response deadline I requested before planned attendance at the September 30 meeting.

15. My correspondence requested a clear agenda status: approval with a meeting date; a denial identifying the decision-maker and reason; or an under-review status identifying the responsible person and expected decision date. For the 18 questions, I requested an accountable coordinator and response schedule, with completed answers included. For the pilot, I requested its authorization status and identification of the person responsible for any continuing staff review.

16. My proposed Compliance Review and Corrective Action Pilot would establish the following documented steps:

    a. Formal receipt of the complaint and assignment of a tracking or case number.

    b. Identification of an accountable owner, expected response dates, and an initial assessment of whether formal compliance review is warranted.

    c. Assignment to a qualified compliance officer or other qualified reviewer sufficiently independent of the activity being reviewed.

    d. Evaluation of the evidence against applicable laws, regulations, contracts, policies, procedures, or internal controls.

    e. A written determination explaining what was reviewed, the requirements applied, the findings, and any matters requiring further review.

    f. When a deficiency is substantiated, identification of its root cause and assignment of responsibility and deadlines for corrective action.

    g. Independent verification that corrective action was completed and corrected the identified problem, with monitoring when appropriate.

    h. Documented approval of closure and written notification to the citizen, including the determination and any available appeal or escalation process.

17. I am not asserting that every complaint establishes a violation. A qualified compliance officer or reviewer should determine the outcome from the evidence and applicable requirements. If a concern is not substantiated, the citizen should receive a written explanation. If it is substantiated, corrective action should be completed, independently verified, and documented before closure.

18. A citizen should not have to make the final legal, contractual, procedural, or internal-control determination before a documented concern can receive meaningful review. My proposal assigns that evaluation to a qualified reviewer and gives the citizen an identifiable path through resolution.

19. I request that the Board place the citizen compliance-review process and proposed Compliance Review and Corrective Action Pilot on an agenda for discussion and possible action, provide documented responses to my 18 questions, and authorize the pilot using existing County resources.

20. I have reviewed this affidavit. Its statements accurately reflect my personal experience, the records and correspondence I have reviewed, and the process changes I have requested. My conclusions about the process gap are based on those experiences and records.

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

DATED this 24 day of September, 2026.

_____

Jamie Weinhauer Martin, Affiant

Address: 9834 E Watford Way

City, State, ZIP: Sun Lakes AZ 85248

Email: Johmie429@Gmail.com

JURAT — VERIFICATION ON OATH OR AFFIRMATION

State of Arizona

County of Maricopa

Signed and sworn to (or affirmed) before me on this 24th day of September, 2026, by Jamie Weinhauer Martin.

_____

Notary Public

Printed Name: Jenesis Jolie Branch

My Commission Expires: 03/20/2028

Notary Seal:

JENESIS JOLIE BRANCH
Notary Public - State of Arizona
MARICOPA COUNTY
Commission # 665290
Expires March 20, 2028